FOR PUBLICATION:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

IN RE: TUTU WELLS CONTAMINATION LITIGATION	: MASTER DOCKET FILE : NO. 1989-107
RHODA J. HARTHMAN, ET AL.,	:
Plaintiffs,	: MEMORANDUM AND OPINION : RE: CONTEMPT AND : SANCTIONS MOTIONS
V.	:
TEXACO, INC., ET AL.,	: CIVIL NO. 89-220 :
Defendants.	:
ESSO STANDARD OIL, S.A., LTD., ET AL.	: : :
Third-Party Plaintiffs,	:
v.	:
LAGA INDUSTRIES, LTD., ET AL.,	· :
Third-Party Defendants.	:
FOUR WINDS PARTNERSHIP,	· :
Plaintiff,	: CIVIL NO. 89-224
V.	:
TEXACO CARIBBEAN, INC., ET AL.,	:
Defendants.	:
ESSO STANDARD OIL, S.A., LTD.,	· :
Counter-claimant and Third-Party Plaintiff,	:

v.

LAGA INDUSTRIES, LTD., ET AL.,

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS

Richard R. Knoepfel, Esquire

Briggs, Knoepfel & Ronca P.O. Box 6286 Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas U.S. Virgin Islands 00804

and

Thomas H. Hart, III, Esquire

Alkon, Rhea & Hart 2115 Queen Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

Attorneys for PID-Harthmans

John K. Dema, Esquire Carey-Anne Moody, Esquire

Law Offices of John K. Dema, P.C. 1236 Strand Street, Suite 103 Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 0820-5008

and

Darren Defoe, Esquire

P.O. Box 127 Waterford, Maine 04088

Attorneys for Four Winds Plaza Partnership

FOR DEFENDANTS

Addison J. Meyers, Esquire Mary Hoerber, Esquire

Law Offices of O'Connor & Lemos P.O. Box 14-9022

Coral Gables, Florida 33114

and

Edgar Christensen, Esquire

Law Offices of R. Eric Moore 2108 Company Street, 2nd Floor P.O. Box 3086 Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00822

Attorneys for Texaco, Inc.
Texaco Caribbean, Inc.

Robert T. Lehman, Esquire
Debra Rosen, Esquire
William J. O'Kane, Esquire
Christopher Gibson, Esquire
Archer & Greiner
One Centennial Square
Haddonfield, New Jersey 08033

and

Douglas L. Capdeville, Esquire

2191 Church Street Box 4191

Christiansted, St. Croix VI 00822

Attorneys for Esso Standard Oil, S.A., Ltd.
Esso Virgin Islands, Inc., and
Esso Standard Oil, Co. (P.R.)

Richard E. Daley, Esquire

Law Offices of Pattie & Daley 1104 Strand Street, Suite 204 Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820-5003

and

E. Barclay Cale, Jr. (Co-Counsel)
Thomas A. Dye, Esquire

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 5300 S.E. Financial Center

200 S. Biscayne Boulevard
Miami, Florida 33131
Attorneys for Exxon Corporation

John A. Zebedee, Esquire

Law Offices of James L. Hymes P.O. Box 990
Emancipation Garden Station Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas U.S. Virgin Islands 00804

Attorney for Vernon Morgan

Francis E. Jackson, Jr. Esquire

8A&B Bjerge Gade
P.O. Box 6591
Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas
U.S. Virgin Islands 00804
Attorney for Daniel Bayard

Carol Ann Rich, Esquire

Campbell, Arellano & Rich
P.O. Box 11899
Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas
U.S. Virgin Islands 00801
Attorney for Ramsay Motors, Inc.

Nancy D'Anna, Esquire

P.O. Box 37 Cruz Bay, St. John U.S. Virgin Islands 00831

and

Patricia Martinez Lorenzo, Esquire

Chase Manhattan Bank Building, Suite 311 416 Ponce de Leon Avenue
Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918
Attorneys for L'Henri, Inc.

Richard G. Leland, Esquire (Co-Counsel)

Law Offices of Rosenman & Colin 575 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022-2285

and

Kevin A. Rames, Esquire

2111 Company Street, Suite 3 P.O. Box 3030 Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00822

> Attorneys for Paul Lazare, Andreas Gal, The Duplan Corporation, Laga Industries, Ltd., Panex Industries, Inc., and Panex Co.

John R. Coon, Esquire

Law Office of John R. Coon 6 Chandler's Wharf, Suite 202 P.O. Box 25918 Gallows Bay, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00824 Attorney for Western Auto

Ralda V. Simmonds, Esquire

P.O. Box 7668 Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas U.S. Virgin Islands 00801

Attorney for Virgin Islands Housing Authority

George Marshall Miller, Esquire

26A Norre Gade Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas U.S. Virgin Islands 00801

Attorney for Thomas A. Gassett G.S. Industries, Inc.

Rosalie Simmonds Ballantine, Esquire

Attorney General for the Virgin Islands

By: Henry Thomas, Assistant Attorney General

Virgin Islands Department of Justice

48B-50C Kronprindsens Gade

Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas

U.S. Virgin Islands 00802

Attorney for V.I. Department of Education

Katherine E. Harsch, Esquire

Bornn, Bornn, Handy & Rashid P.O. Box 1500

Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas U.S. Virgin Islands 00804 Attorney for Siegfried Torinus and Waltrad Torinus

Daniel Riesel, Esquire

Sive, Paget & Riesel, P.C. 460 Park Ave. New York, New York 10022

Edward H. Jacobs, Esquire

Jacobs & Brady
2126 Church Street
Christiansted, St. Croix V.I. 00820-4604
Attorneys for Law Firm of Goldman, Antonetti

Joel H. Holt, Esquire

2132 Company Street, Suite 2
Christiansted, St. Croix
U.S. Virgin Islands 00820
 Attorney for Eugenio C. Romero, Esquire

Britain H. Bryant, Esquire

Bryant, White & Associates, PC
47 King Street, 2nd Floor
P.O. Box 4589
Christiansted, St. Croix
U.S. Virgin Islands 00822-4589
Attorney for Warren B. Cole, Esquire

Brotman, J. March 20, 1995

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Pending before this court are several motions requesting sanctions, including monetary awards, dismissal of counter-claims and cross-claims, and other relief because of

various acts of alleged discovery misconduct among the Esso Defendants and their counsel.

I. THE JANUARY 15, 1993 ORDER

The first series of motions for sanctions, relating to failure of the Esso Defendants to obey a discovery Order of the Magistrate, were submitted to the Honorable Geoffrey Barnard, United States Magistrate Judge (hereinafter "Magistrate"), for Report and Recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1). The Magistrate found sanctions to be warranted, and acknowledged his dismay that the discovery did not proceed according to his Order of January 15, 1993. The Magistrate concluded that "while testimony was presented by the plaintiff which could be construed to suggest that counsel [for the Esso interests] obstructed the investigation, . . . their efforts, if anything, were out of zealous defense of their clients and not willful, malicious, or contumacious disregard of the court." (Emphasis added.) no objections to the Magistrate's factual findings were filed, several parties filed objections to the Magistrate's conclusion that the actions taken by Counsel for Esso were not willful, and

in bad faith, but merely out of "zealous defense." For the following reasons, this court disagrees with the Magistrate's characterization of the conduct of former counsel for the Esso Defendants, and finds that the failure to obey the mandate of the Order and permit discovery was willful and in bad faith.